



Dr. Parminder Singh,
701/A-1, Rail Vihar,
VIP Road, Zirakpur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director Health Service,
Punjab Kalyan Bhawan,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,
Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1565 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Bhag Singh, Suptd. and Sh.Parminder Singh, Sr.Assistant for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 15.04.2021 has sought information regarding the status of request letter sent through Civil Surgeon Mohali vide letter No.1545 dated 04.04.2013 as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Health Service Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.07.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today.

The respondent present informed that the letter No.1545 dated 04.04.2013 of the appellant has not been received/entered in the diary register of their branch since the matter was earlier being dealt by their Establishment -2 Branch and as per their report, no such letter was received. The reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 04.08.2021 with a copy to the Commission through email.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the RTI application has been replied sufficiently, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Surjit Singh, S/o Sh Gokul Singh,
VPO Jarg, Tehsil Payal, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o CMO,
Malerkotla.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Governor, Punjab,
Raj Bhawan, Sector-6, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1702 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Muneer, APIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 05.01.2022 has sought information regarding action taken report on the complaint dated 14.09.2021 – a copy of statement recorded -a copy of statement recorded from Mata Surjit Kaur Memorial Hospital – action taken by CMO Malerkotla – affidavit for the delay in taking action as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of CMO Malerkotla. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Malerkotla.

As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 03.10.2022 has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur,
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana,

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner,
Food & Drugs Administration,
Kharar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
Food & Drugs Administration,
Kharar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1593 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 13.12.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 03.11.2021 alongwith complete noting and correspondence as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Commissioner, Food and Drug Administration, Pb Kharar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.02.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

The Commission has received a letter dated 15.07.2022 from the office of Commissioner Food and Drug Administration, Kharar vide which the PIO has sent a reply to the appellant stating that the complaint of the appellant was sent to Civil Surgeon Patiala for enquiry. However, the complaint was returned with the remarks of the Civil Surgeon Patiala that Dr.Shelly Jaitley, District Health Officer does not want to get the enquiry conducted through a committee whose members were junior to him. As per the reply, the Deputy commissioner, Patiala has been asked to get the enquiry conducted and the appellant may correspond with the office of DC Patiala for the enquiry report.

Since the RTI application has been replied to sufficiently, no further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Surjit Singh, S/o Sh Gokul Singh,
VPO Jarg, Tehsil Payal,
Distt. Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chairperson,
Punjab State Schedules Castes Commission,
Punjab Civil Secretariat, Room No-8,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Governor,
Punjab, Sector-6,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1550 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Mrs.Jaswinder Kaur, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 12.01.2022 has sought information regarding an affidavit for sending newspaper cutting dated 08.01.2022 relating to promotion to SC category from back date - the decision taken in Mandhir Singh case – a copy of the statement in reference to the letter dated 17.03.2021 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Chairperson, Punjab State SC Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.02.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The Commission has received a letter dated 07.03.2022 from the office of the PIO vide which it has been replied to the appellant that the news published in the newspaper was for the general public and relates to the working/achievement of the Commission

The appellant is absent nor represented.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission finds that the RTI application is vague and not specific, and the appellant is absent to make any clarification.

The appeal is rejected. **Case closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
SEctor-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
SEctor-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1531 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 13.12.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 03.11.2021 alongwith complete noting and correspondence as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.02.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both parties are absent.

The Commission has received a letter dated 24.08.2022 from the office of Civil Surgeon, Patiala, stating that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 15.07.2022 and a copy of the same sent to the Commission.

In the reply, the PIO has mentioned that a Committee (comprising of the Assistant Health Officer, Dy.Medical Commissioner & ACFA) was constituted to conduct an enquiry on the complaint. However, the complaint was returned with the remarks of the committee that the concerned, District Health Officer does not want to get the enquiry conducted through a committee whose members are junior to him. As per the reply, the Director Health and Family Welfare Pb has been asked to conduct the enquiry at their own level.

Since the RTI application has been replied to sufficiently, no further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh Om Parkash,
1st nd 2nd Floor, Ward NO-20,
Piara Lal Thekedar Street, Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Mansa.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Mansa.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3316 of 2021

**PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Sukhraj Singh Dhillon, PIO-cum-DRO Mansa for the Respondent**

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 17.07.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the application dated 06.03.2020 alongwith action taken thereon and enquiry report, noting etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DC Mansa. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 19.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 03.02.2021 before Ms.Preeti Chawla, State Information Commissioner when the respondent stated that the information available in the record has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was absent. The case was adjourned.

On the next date of hearing on 22.06.2021, the appellant was again absent. The appellant was given one more opportunity to appear, and the case was adjourned. On the date of the hearing on 16.08.2021, the appellant was present and informed that the PIO has not supplied the complete information. The PIO was directed to send a copy of the information to the Commission before the next date of the hearing.

The case came up for hearing before this Bench on 13.04.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. The respondent informed that the information containing 64 pages had already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 02.02.2021.

However, the PIO had not sent a copy of the information to the Commission as per the earlier order dated 16.08.2021.

The appellant was absent.

The PIO is directed to send a copy of the information to the Commission.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in the provided information in writing to the PIO and the PIO was directed to sort out the same.

On the date of last hearing on **13.09.2022**, the appellant was present at Chandigarh and claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent had brought the information. The respondent further informed that the appellant had filed complaints regarding a wrong transfer of ownership/Vasika No.5956 dated 26.02.2020 with the office of DC Mansa (on 27.02.2020), ADC Mansa (on 06.03.2020) and SDM Mansa (on 27.02.2020 & 28.02.2020). The DC Mansa sent the complaint of the appellant dated 06.03.2020 to SDM Mansa for enquiry. The record was collected from the enquiry branch, and the reply/information (64 pages) was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 02.02.2021 through a messenger. However, the wife of the appellant refused to receive the information. Thereafter, the reply/information was again sent to the appellant through registered post on 19.02.2021. The PIO also sent a copy of the reply to the Commission which was taken on record.

The PIO was directed to deliver/provide action taken report alongwith noting/correspondence to the appellant by hand and report for compliance on 11.10.2022,

Hearing dated 11.10.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. The respondent present pleaded that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the complete information has been provided to the appellant on 04.10.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of information/reply received from the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and the information has been provided to the best possible extent. Hence no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Mohan Singh Kore,
226, Ward No-11, Old,
Morinda Road, Kurali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Joint Commissioner,
Food & Drugs Administration,
Civil Hospital, Kharar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Joint Commissioner,
Food & Drugs Administration,
Civil Hospital, Kharar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1612 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Mohan Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 09.08.2021, has sought information regarding the status of his service book sent by the office of Director Health & Family Welfare Pb Chandigarh vide letter No.1882 dated 04.10.2016 as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Joint Commissioner, Food & Drug Administration, Kharar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.09.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today.

As per the appellant, the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent nor represented.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that the appellant is tracking his service book, which as per the appellant, is lying with the office of the Joint Commissioner, Food & Drug Administration, Kharar, indefinitely. The PIO is directed to provide the current status/diary entries which reflect the current status of the custody of the appellant's service book.

The information be provided immediately.

To come up for compliance on **29.11.2022** at 11.00AM.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Ranjit Singh, S/o Sh Karam Singh,
VPO Chanouli, Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt Ropar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab State Social Welfare Board,
Sector-35-A, Shop no.16, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O O/o Punjab State Social Welfare Board,
Sector-35-A, Shop no.16, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1557 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 24.08.2021 has sought information regarding details of deduction of house rent allowance alongwith the relevant rules on the basis of which the deduction was made as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Punjab State Social Welfare Board. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today. Both the parties are absent.

There is nothing on record which shows that the RTI application has been attended to. There has been an enormous delay of more than one year in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

To come up for further hearing on **29.11.2022** at 11.00 AM at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur,
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Pr. Secy,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector 34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Pr. Secy,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector 34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1726 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 03.01.2022 has sought a copy of the complete file relating to the dealing of the complaint of the appellant sent by the vigilance department (vide letter dated 16.12.2021) from the office of Principal Secretary, Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.02.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **18.01.2023** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur,
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Pr. Secy,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector 34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Pr. Secy,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector 34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1715 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 18.01.2022 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 01.11.2021 alongwith complete noting and correspondence as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Principal Secretary, Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.02.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **18.01.2023** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Tejinder Singh,
Civil Court, TEhsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO,
Civil Hospital, Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
MOga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1518 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 16.12.2021 has sought information regarding the period of contract of parking contractor in Civil Hospital Moga alongwith parking rates fixed – whether outside persons, except patients, are allowed to park their vehicle or not – the period of the contract of the canteen in hospital Moga alongwith fees recovered from the contractor from the year 2019 to 2021 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO-Civil Hospital, Moga. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.01.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Moga. Both parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.12.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Moga.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Tejinder Singh,
Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
SEctor-34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
SEctor-34, Chandigarh..

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1523 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 15.12.2021 has sought details of hospitals empanelled relating to Ayushman Bharat Health Card – details of diseases treated alongwith treatment expenses – details of doctors deputed for passing reimbursement claims under Ayushman Bharat Card in District Amritsar and Gurdaspur – private hospital claims settled in all Districts of Punjab relating to Ayushman Bharat cards and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.01.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.12.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO is to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner